IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION.

Civil Application L No. 10 of 2004

In

Letters Patent Appeal ST No.48497 of 2003

Shri Dattatraya M. Deshmukh, .. Applicant

Appellant.

Vs

1. Maharashtra State Road

Transport Corp .. .. Respondent

Mr S.N.Deshpande, for the applicant/appellant.

None for the respondent.

CORAM : V.G.PALSHIKAR & D.B.BHOSALE,JJ.

DATE : 18.10.2005.

PC:

1. Heard the learned counsel for the appellant.

2. By this application, the applicant seeks condonation of delay which, according to the appellant, is of 13 days and the report of the registry shows delay of 60 days. Whatever be the period of delay, the

application is beautifully vague. It only says that the appellant had met with an accident and was hospitalised. What was the nature of the accident; in which hospital he was under treatment and for what period, is not stated. How the accident resulted in completely mobilising the appellant is also not stated. No case, therefore, is made out in the application for condonation of delay. The civil application for condonation of delay is, therefore, rejected. As a consequence, the Letters Patent Appeal (ST) No.48497 of 2003 is dismissed as barred by time.

(D.B.BHOSALE, J.) **(V.G.PALSHIKAR, J.)**